014526.0001\1137066.1

Case No. CV 06-07067-MMC

1	Donald S. Simon (Bar No. 189992) William C. Acevedo (Bar No. 194106)		
2	WENDEL, ROSEN, BLACK & DEAN LLP		
3	1111 Broadway, 24th Floor Post Office Box 2047 94604-2047 Oakland, CA 94607-4036 Telephone: (510) 834-6600		
4			
5	Fax: (510) 834-1928		
6	Luis M. Alcalde, Esq. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) (OH Bar No. 0022848) 551 S. Third Street Columbus, OH 43215 Telephone: (614) 228-7422 Fax: (614) 228-7277		
7			
8			
9	Attorneys for Defendant PICA		
10	FICA		
11	IMITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
15			
16	MMCA GROUP LTD., a Virginia corporation,	Case No. CV 06-07067-MMC	
17	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER RE: DEFENDANT PICA CORPORATION'S	
18	vs. HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY, a	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENTS FILED IN SUPPORT OF REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, OR IN THE	
19			
20	Delaware corporation, PINKERTON CONSULTING & INVESTIGATIONS	ALTERNATIVE, PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT	
21	EUROPE, a foreign corporation, PICA, an Ohio corporation, RODOLFO DIAZ, an	[Civ. L.R. 79-5]	
	individual, WARREN ROTHER, an	[CIV. L.K. 17-3]	
22	individual alien, ROBERT COZZOLINA, an individual, KEVIN HUNSAKER, an		
23	individual,		
24	Defendants.		
25			
26			
27			
28			
	ORDER SEALING REPLY PAPERS –		

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

On February 6, 2009 Defendant PICA Corporation ("PICA") filed an Administrative
Motion to File Documents Under Seal ("Administrative Motion") asserting that the designated
material is subject to being sealed. Having considered the Administrative Motion, the
Declaration of William C. Acevedo in support thereof, and proof having been made to the
satisfaction of the Court that the Administrative Motion should be granted,

THE COURT FINDS THAT:

- 1. Defendant PICA Corporation's Opposition to MMCA's Request for Continuance of Summary Judgment Pursuant to FRCP 56(f) contains confidential information that should be withheld from public viewing;
- 2. PICA's Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Reply to Plaintiff MMCA Group, Ltd.'s Opposition to PICA's Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the alternative, Partial Summary Judgment (hereinafter, the "Reply") contains confidential information that should be withheld from public viewing;
- **3.** The Supplemental Declaration of Rodolfo Diaz and Exhibits A and B submitted in Support of PICA's Reply contain confidential information that should be withheld from public viewing;
- 4. The evidentiary objections to the Declaration of Robert Creswell submitted by PICA in Support of PICA's Reply contain confidential information that should be withheld from public viewing;
- 5. The evidentiary objections to the Declaration of Lau Geckler submitted by PICA in Support of PICA's Reply contain confidential information that should be withheld from public viewing;
- The evidentiary objections to the Declaration of Thomas Byrne submitted 6. by PICA in Support of PICA's Reply contain confidential information that should be withheld from public viewing;
- 7. The evidentiary objections to the Declaration of Jorge Barahona submitted by PICA in Support of PICA's Reply contain confidential information that should be withheld from public viewing;

1

- 8. The evidentiary objections to the Declaration of Pedro Antonio Martinez Acosta submitted by PICA in Support of PICA's Reply contain confidential information that should be withheld from public viewing;
- 9. The evidentiary objections to the Declaration of Aldo Nestor Alvarez submitted by PICA in Support of PICA's Reply contain confidential information that should be withheld from public viewing; and
- 10. The evidentiary objections to the Declaration of M. Morgan Cherry submitted by PICA in Support of PICA's Reply contain confidential information that should be withheld from public viewing.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 11. PICA's Administrative Motion is GRANTED.
- **12.** The documents and exhibits referenced above, whenever filed, in whole or in part, with the Court in this case, shall be filed under seal.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 18, 2009

orable Maxine M. Chesno United States District Court Judge